arXiv:1703.02054v1 [math.PR] 6 Mar 2017

INDEPENDENCE BY RANDOM SCALING

Lancelot F. James and Peter Orbanz

HKUST and Columbia University

We give conditions under which a scalar random variable T can be coupled to a random scaling factor ξ such that T and ξT are rendered stochastically independent. A similar result is obtained for random measures. One consequence is a generalization of a result by Pitman and Yor on the Poisson-Dirichlet distribution to its negative parameter range. Another application are diffusion excursions straddling an exponential random time.

1. Introduction and main results. Distributional identities involving elementary random variables play an important role in probability and related fields. Such identities arise, for instance, in the study of path properties of stochastic processes [13, 12, 10], and in applications of Stein's method [15]. A fundamental example is the following: For $a > 0$, generically denote by G_a a Gamma$(a, 1)$ variable. If G_a and G_b are independent, then $(G_a + G_b) \perp\!\!\!\perp G_a/(G_a + G_b)$. Lukacs [11] has shown this property is exclusive to gamma variables, and hence characterizes the gamma distribution. This result and its ramifications are collectively known as the *beta-gamma algebra*. Its relevance to path properties of Brownian motion and related phenomena is highlighted by Revuz and Yor [22].

The distributional properties studied in the following are of the form

$$T \perp\!\!\!\perp \xi T \qquad \text{for positive random variables } \xi \text{ and } T\,. \tag{1}$$

Lukacs' characterization shows such variables exist—take $T = G_a + G_b$ and $\xi = 1/G_a$—but also implies T is a sum of independent variables only if these variables are gamma. Pitman and Yor [21] have identified another case: Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta > 0$, and abbreviate $\zeta := G_{\theta/\alpha}$. Let f_α be an α-stable density, $S_{\alpha,\theta}$ a variable with density proportional to $t^{-\theta} f_\alpha$, and denote by $(\tau_\alpha(y))_{y\geq 0}$ a generalized gamma subordinator, i.e. a non-decreasing Lévy process on $(0, \infty)$ with Lévy density $t \mapsto \alpha t^{-\alpha-1} e^{-t}/\Gamma(1-\alpha)$. Then

$$\text{(i)} \quad \frac{\tau_\alpha(\zeta)}{\zeta^{1/\alpha}} \perp\!\!\!\perp \tau_\alpha(\zeta) \qquad \text{(ii)} \quad \tau_\alpha(\zeta) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_\theta \qquad \text{(iii)} \quad \frac{\tau_\alpha(\zeta)}{\zeta^{1/\alpha}} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} S_{\alpha,\theta}\,, \tag{2}$$

which follows from the proof of [21, Proposition 21]. Rescaling to $\tilde{\tau}_\alpha(y) := \tau_\alpha(y)/y^{1/\alpha}$ gives

$$\text{(i) } \tilde{\tau}_\alpha(\zeta) \perp\!\!\!\perp \tilde{\tau}_\alpha(\zeta) \cdot \zeta^{1/\alpha} \qquad \text{(ii) } \tilde{\tau}_\alpha(\zeta) \cdot \zeta^{1/\alpha} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_\theta \qquad \text{(iii) } \tilde{\tau}_\alpha(\zeta) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} S_{\alpha,\theta}\,.$$

Clearly, (2i) is an instance of (1).

Since both gamma and stable variables are distinguished by their scaling behavior, it is natural to ask in how far scaling properties are intrinsic to (1). Our first result shows that

Primary 60G57, 60C05; secondary 60E99, 60G52

Keywords and phrases: Poisson-Dirichlet distributions, stable subordinators, independence, random measures, passage times

the relevant property is not scaling per se, but rather a form of exponential tilting. In the case of the stable, this exponential tilt manifests as a scaling operation; at close inspection, the relationship is visible already in [20]. Let T_0 be a non-negative random variable with density f_{T_0} and cumulant function $\psi(s) := -\log \mathbb{E}[e^{-sT_0}]$. For any such random variable, the exponentially tilted variable $T_0^{(s)}$ and the polynomially tilted variable $T_0^{[\nu]}$ are given by the densities

$$\mathbb{P}(T_0^{(s)} \in dt) = e^{-st+\psi(s)} f_{T_0}(t)dt \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{P}(T_0^{[\nu]} \in dt) = \frac{t^{-\nu}}{\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]} f_{T_0}(t)dt \,,$$

for $s \geq 0$, and for $\nu > 0$ chosen such that $\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}] < \infty$.

THEOREM 1. *Fix $\nu > 0$, and let T_0 be a positive random variable with cumulant function ψ and $\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}] < \infty$. Let ξ and T be positive, absolutely continuous random variables. Then*

$$\textit{(i)} \quad T \perp\!\!\!\perp \xi T \qquad \textit{(ii)} \quad \xi T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_\nu \qquad \textit{(iii)} \quad T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} T_0^{[\nu]} \tag{3}$$

holds if and only if the pair (T, ξ) satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}_{\psi,\nu}(\xi \in ds) = \frac{e^{-\psi(s)} s^{\nu-1}}{\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]\Gamma(\nu)} ds \qquad \textit{and} \qquad T|(\xi = s) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} T_0^{(s)} \,. \tag{4}$$

Conditional tilting thus yields a large class of random variables satisfying (1), and the scaled variable is always gamma.

The variables in (2) take scalar values. It is shown in [21], however, that the property extends to the entire path of the process τ_α: For any $y \in [0, 1]$,

$$\text{(i)} \quad \frac{\tau_\alpha(y\zeta)}{\zeta^{1/\alpha}} \perp\!\!\!\perp \tau(\zeta) \qquad \text{(ii)} \quad \tau_\alpha(\zeta) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_\theta \qquad \text{(iii)} \quad \frac{\tau_\alpha(\zeta)}{\zeta^{1/\alpha}} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \tau_\alpha(1)^{[\nu]} \,. \tag{5}$$

Combined with Theorem 1, this suggests an analogous result for general subordinators, which we state in terms of random measures: Let Ω be a Polish space, μ a probability measure on Ω, and λ a Lévy density on $(0, \infty)$. We assume λ is strictly positive and continuous, and $\int_0^\infty \min\{1, t\}\lambda(t)dt < \infty$. Let (J_n, ω_n) be the points of a Poisson process on $(0, \infty) \times \Omega$ with mean measure $\lambda(t)dt\mu(d\omega)$. Then $N := \sum_n J_n \delta_{\omega_n}$ is a random measure on Ω, with $N(\Omega) < \infty$ a.s. If h is a non-negative function with $\mathbb{E}[h(N(\Omega))] = 1$, the random measure M specified by

$$\mathbb{P}(M \in dm) = h(m(\Omega))\mathbb{P}(N \in dm) \tag{6}$$

again satisfies $M(\Omega) < \infty$. If ψ is the cumulant function of the scalar variable $M(\Omega)$, one can define an exponential tilt $M^{(s)}$ of M as $\mathbb{P}(M^{(s)} \in dm) = e^{\psi(s)-sm(\Omega)}\mathbb{P}(M \in dm)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let M_0 and M be random measures of the general form (6), let ψ be the cumulant function of $M_0(\Omega)$, and fix $\nu > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[M_0(\Omega)^{-\nu}] < \infty$. Then*

$$\textit{(i)} \quad M \perp\!\!\!\perp \xi M(\Omega) \qquad \textit{(ii)} \quad \xi M(\Omega) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_\nu \qquad \textit{(iii)} \quad M(\Omega) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} M_0(\Omega)^{[\nu]} \tag{7}$$

if and only if $\xi \sim \mathbb{P}_{\psi,\nu}$ and $M|(\xi = s) = M_0^{(s)}$.

The results are related through the total masses of the random measures: If M_0 and M satisfy Theorem 2, their total masses $T_0 := M_0(\Omega)$ and $T := M(\Omega)$ satisfy Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 can be applied to normalized random measures: As $T = M(\Omega)$ is almost surely finite, $P := M/T$ is a random discrete probability measure [8]. Since M in (7i) is independent of ξT, and T is a functional of M, it follows that

$$P \perp\!\!\!\perp \xi T \qquad \text{where} \qquad \xi T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_\nu \; . \tag{8}$$

The conditions above imply P is of the form

$$P \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} P_n \delta_{\omega_n} \qquad \text{where} \qquad (P_n) \perp\!\!\!\perp (\omega_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1, \omega_2 \sim_{\mathrm{iid}} \mu \; ,$$

and (P_n) is a random sequence $P_1 \geq P_2 \geq \ldots$ with $\sum_n P_n = 1$ almost surely. It is hence no loss of generality to assume μ is the uniform law on $[0,1]$, or to neglect the atoms ω_n altogether. Throughout, we treat random probability measures and random sequences (P_n) interchangeably. If the total mass $N(\Omega)$ of the random measure in (6) has density f, then $T = M(\Omega)$ has density hf. This density, and the Lévy density λ of N, completely determine the law of (P_n), which is called a Poisson-Kingman distribution [18], and denoted $\mathrm{PK}(\lambda, hf)$. A distinguished example within the Poisson-Kingman family are the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distributions $\mathrm{PD}(\alpha,\theta)$, with parameters $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$ [8, 21]. For $\theta > 0$, they are known to satisfy (8): There is a random measure M with total mass T such that $P = M/T$ satisfies

$$P \perp\!\!\!\perp \xi T \quad \text{and} \quad \xi T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_{\theta+\alpha} \quad \text{if} \quad (P_n) \sim \mathrm{PD}(\alpha,\theta) \; .$$

If $\alpha > 0$, this is once again Proposition 21 of [21], and can be derived from (5) by choosing $M[0,y] := \tau_\alpha(y\zeta)/\zeta^{1/\alpha}$, in which case (P_n) has law $\mathrm{PD}(\alpha,\theta)$. If $\alpha = 0$, choose $M[0,y] = \tau(y\theta)$ for a gamma subordinator τ instead; then $(P_n) \sim \mathrm{PD}(0,\theta)$, and the result follows from Lukacs' characterization.

Relative to Proposition 21 of Pitman and Yor [21], our results imply an extension to the case $\theta \leq 0$: Start with a generalized gamma subordinator $\tau_\alpha(y)$ and $b > 0$. Size-biasing the process $\tau_\alpha(yb^\alpha)/b$ turns it into a bridge

$$\frac{\tau_\alpha(yb^\alpha)}{b} + \frac{G_{1-\alpha}}{b} \mathbb{1}\{U \leq y\} \qquad \text{for } U \sim \mathrm{Uniform}[0,1] \text{ independently.}$$

In Section 2.3, we construct scalar random variables $H_{\alpha,\theta}$ and $\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}}$ such that randomizing b by $\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}} + H_{\alpha,\theta}$ defines a random measure

$$M[0,y] := \frac{\tau_\alpha(y(\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}} + H_{\alpha,\theta})^\alpha)}{\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}} + H_{\alpha,\theta}} + \frac{G_{1-\alpha}}{\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}} + H_{\alpha,\theta}} \mathbb{1}\{U \leq y\} \tag{9}$$

for which the weights (P_n) of $P = M/T$ have law $\mathrm{PD}(\alpha,\theta)$.

Proposition 3. *For any $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$, the ranked weights (P_n) derived from (9) satisfy $P \sim \mathrm{PD}(\alpha,\theta)$, independently of*

$$(\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}} + H_{\alpha,\theta})T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_{1-\theta} \qquad \textit{and of} \qquad \xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}} T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_{\theta+\alpha} \; .$$

The remainder of this article describes applications and examples; proofs are collected in the appendix. While the results apply to quite general processes, our examples emphasize the stable subordinator, which leads to interesting extensions of Proposition 21 of [21].

2. Application to generalized gamma subordinators. In this section, we consider the scaled and time-changed process $\tau_\alpha(yb^\alpha)/b$ that already arose above. This process can be equivalently represented by exponentially tilting a stable subordinator [21]: Let f_α denote the density of an α-stable random variable, and λ_α an α-stable Lévy density. If $\sigma_\alpha^{(b)}$ is a subordinator with Lévy density $e^{-bt}\lambda_\alpha(t)$, then

$$\sigma_\alpha^{(b)}(y) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\tau_\alpha(yb^\alpha)}{b} ,$$

where the left-hand side is well-defined even if $b = 0$. The variable

$$X_{\alpha,b} := \frac{\tau_\alpha(b^\alpha)}{b} \quad \text{hence has density} \quad t \mapsto e^{-bt+b^\alpha} f_\alpha(t) .$$

Exponentially tilted Lévy densities as the one above define a class of Poisson-Kingman distributions for which our results take a special form: For a Lévy density λ and $\nu > 0$, let T_0 be the total mass of a random measure defined by λ. The Poisson-Kingman distribution $\mathrm{PK}(\lambda, \mathcal{L}(T_0^{[\nu]}))$ can be embedded in a one-parameter family $\mathrm{PK}(e^{-bt}\lambda(t), \mathcal{L}(T_b^{[\nu]}))$, for $b \geq 0$, where T_b is the total mass of a random measure defined by $e^{-bt}\lambda(t)$. The conditioning operation in Theorem 2 then takes the form of a parameter shift: A random probability measure P with law $\mathrm{PK}(e^{-bt}\lambda(t), \mathcal{L}(T_b^{[\nu]}))$ satisfies (8) if and only if

$$T|(\xi = s) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} T_{b+s} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \sim \mathbb{P}_{\psi,\nu}$$

where ψ is the cumulant function of $T_{b=0}$.

2.1. *The basic case.* Suppose the random measure M_0 in Theorem 2 is defined as $M_0[0, y] := \tau_\alpha(yb^\alpha)/b$ for all $y \in [0, 1]$. The total mass $M_0[0, 1] \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} X_{\alpha,b}$ then has cumulant function $\psi(s) = (b + s)^\alpha - b^\alpha$, and substituting into the theorem yields

$$\mathbb{P}_{\psi,\nu}(\xi \in ds) = \frac{e^{-(b+s)^\alpha + b^\alpha} s^{\nu-1}}{\mathbb{E}[X_{\alpha,b}^{-\nu}]\Gamma(\nu)} ds \qquad \text{and} \qquad M[0, y]\big|(\xi = s) \;=\; \frac{\tau_\alpha(y(b + s)^\alpha)}{b + s} .$$

Consequently, the random probability measure

$$P[0, y] := \frac{\tau_\alpha(y(b + \xi)^\alpha)}{\tau_\alpha((b + \xi)^\alpha)} \qquad \text{satisfies} \qquad P \perp\!\!\!\perp \xi \frac{\tau_\alpha((b + \xi)^\alpha)}{b + \xi} \;\stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=}\; G_\nu . \tag{10}$$

The variables $T = M[0, 1]$ and $T_0 = M_0[0, 1]$ satisfy

$$T = T_0^{[\nu]} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\tau_\alpha((b + \xi)^\alpha)}{b + \xi} \qquad \text{and hence} \qquad \mathbb{P}(T \in dt) \propto t^{-\nu} e^{-bt+b^\alpha} f_\alpha(t)dt . \tag{11}$$

The resulting law of (P_n) is $\mathrm{PK}(\lambda_\alpha, \mathcal{L}(T))$. For $b := 0$ and $\nu > 0$, this law is specifically $\mathrm{PD}(\alpha, \nu)$, which recovers Proposition 21 of Pitman and Yor [21]. Both the independence property in (10) *and* equality in distribution to G_ν remain true if b is randomized by mixing against any positive random variable.

2.2. *Size-biasing.* If Y is any positive random variable with density f_Y, we denote by Y^* the size-biased variable with density $yf_Y(y)/\mathbb{E}[Y]$. For an independent uniform variable U on $[0,1]$, the process

$$\tau^*_{\alpha,b}(y) := \frac{\tau_\alpha(yb^\alpha)}{b} + \frac{G_{1-\alpha}}{b}\mathbb{1}\{U \leq y\} \qquad \text{hence} \qquad \tau^*_{\alpha,b}(1) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \left(\frac{\tau_\alpha(b^\alpha)}{b}\right)^* ,$$

and can be regarded as a size-biased form of $\tau_\alpha(yb^\alpha)/b$ [14, 16]. Since the summands are independent, their cumulant functions are additive, and the cumulant function of $\tau^*_{\alpha,b}(1)$ is

$$\psi(s) = -(\alpha-1)\log(1+\tfrac{s}{b}) + (b+s)^\alpha - b^\alpha .$$

For the random measure defined on the interval by $M_0[0,y] := \tau^*_{\alpha,b}(y)$, the distributions in Theorem 2 then take the form

$$\mathbb{P}_{\psi,\nu}(\xi \in ds) = \frac{\alpha(b+s)^{\alpha-1}e^{-(b+s)^\alpha+b^\alpha}s^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)\mathbb{E}[\tau_{\alpha,b}(1)^{-\nu+1}]}ds \qquad \text{and} \qquad M\big|(\xi = s) = \tau^*_{\alpha,b+s} . \quad (12)$$

As the variable $\tau^*_{\alpha,b}(1)$ can be defined by tilting and size-biasing a stable variable, its density is $g_{\alpha,b}(t) := b^{1-\alpha}e^{-bt+b^\alpha}tf_\alpha(t)/\alpha$. The marginal law of $T = M[0,1]$ is then

$$\mathbb{P}(T \in dt) = \frac{t^{-\nu}g_{\alpha,b}(t)}{\int s^{-\nu}g_{\alpha,b}(s)ds}dt = \mathbb{P}(X^{[\nu-1]}_{\alpha,b} \in dt) ,$$

and we obtain:

Proposition 4. *Let f_α be the α-stable density. If the weights of a random probability measure P have law $\mathrm{PK}(f_\alpha, \mathcal{L}(X^{[\nu]}_{\alpha,b}))$, it can be represented as $P = M/T$ for*

$$M[0,y] = \frac{\tau_\alpha(y(b+\xi)^\alpha)}{b+\xi} + \frac{G_{1-\alpha}}{b+\xi}\mathbb{1}\{U \leq y\} = \frac{\tau_\alpha(y((b+\xi)^\alpha + G_{(1-\alpha)/\alpha}\mathbb{1}\{U \leq y\}))}{b+\xi}$$

and satisfies $P \perp\!\!\!\perp \xi T$ and $\xi T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_\nu$ and $T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} X^{[\nu-1]}_{\alpha,b}$.

For example, choose $\nu = 1$, and abbreviate $Z := (G_1 + b^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$. Then, for any $b > 0$,

$$\frac{\tau_\alpha(Z^\alpha + G_{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}})}{Z} \quad \perp\!\!\!\perp \quad (Z-b)\frac{\tau_\alpha(Z^\alpha + G_{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}})}{Z} \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \quad G_1 ,$$

i.e. the value of the process τ_α, taken at a suitable random time, decouples from itself by random scaling. This also shows the unbiased case in Section 2.1 can be recovered from the size-biased one by choosing $\nu = 1$: Observe the term on the left is distributed as $\tau_\alpha(Z^\alpha + G_{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}})/Z \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \tau_\alpha(b^\alpha)/b$, for any $b > 0$. For $b' \geq 0$ and $\nu' > 0$, we may substitute $b = b' + \xi'$, where ξ' has density proportional to $e^{-(b'+s)^\alpha+b'^\alpha}s^{\nu'-1}$ as in Section 2.1 above. Then

$$T \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\tau_\alpha((b'+\xi')^\alpha)}{(b'+\xi')} \qquad \text{and hence} \qquad \mathbb{P}(T \in dt) \propto t^{-\nu'}e^{-b't+b'^\alpha} ,$$

which recovers all cases in Section 2.1.

2.3. *Poisson-Dirichlet models.* A $\mathrm{PD}(\alpha,\theta)$ random measure P can be represented as

$$P[0,y] \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\tau_\alpha(G_{(\alpha+\theta)/\alpha}y + G_{(1-\alpha)/\alpha}\mathbb{I}\{U\le y\})}{\tau_\alpha(G_{(\alpha+\theta)/\alpha} + G_{(1-\alpha)/\alpha})} \qquad \text{for any } \theta > -\alpha\,. \tag{13}$$

This can be read from Dong, Goldschmidt, and Martin [2], or indeed from Pitman and Yor [20]. Define

$$H_{\alpha,\theta} := G^{1/\alpha}_{\frac{\theta+\alpha}{\alpha}}\, B_{1-\alpha,\theta+\alpha}\,.$$

Now index the random variable ξ in (12) explicitly by the value of b as ξ_b, and let $\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}}$ denote the variable obtained by mixing b against $H_{\alpha,\theta}$.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathbb{P}_{\psi,\nu}$ be defined as in (12). For each $b>0$, let $\xi_b \sim \mathbb{P}_{\psi,\theta+\alpha}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\textit{(i)} \quad (\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}} + H_{\alpha,\theta})^\alpha \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_{(\alpha+\theta)/\alpha} \\ &\textit{(ii)} \quad \big(\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}}, H_{\alpha,\theta}\big) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G^{1/\alpha}_{\frac{\theta+\alpha}{\alpha}}\,(B_{\theta+\alpha,1-\alpha}, 1-B_{\theta+\alpha,1-\alpha})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We have hence established the result stated in the introduction:

Proof of Proposition 3. Substitution of $\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}} + H_{\alpha,\theta}$ for b in Proposition 4 yields the random measure defined in (9). By (13), it normalizes to a measure with weights $(P_n) \sim \mathrm{PD}(\alpha,\theta)$, and the claim follows from Proposition 4. □

2.4. *Implications for α-diversities.* For $(P_n) \sim \mathrm{PK}(\lambda_\alpha, hf_\alpha)$, it is known [19] that

$$\Gamma(1-\alpha)^{-1} \lim_{\varepsilon\to\infty} \varepsilon^\alpha |\{n|P_n \ge \varepsilon\}| = T^{-\alpha} \qquad \text{a.s.}$$

The random variable $T^{-\alpha}$ can be interpreted in terms of a local time, and is also known as the α-diversity of the exchangeable random partition of $\mathbb{N}$ defined by P: If K_n is the number of distinct blocks in the restriction of this partition to the subset $[n]$, then $n^{-\alpha}K_n \to T^{-\alpha}$ almost surely as $n\to\infty$. The case $T = S_{\alpha,\theta}$ arises in Bayesian statistics, stochastic processes, and models for random trees and graphs [e.g. 3, 5, 6, 15, 24].

The law considered above is $(P_n) \sim \mathrm{PK}(\lambda_\alpha, \mathcal{L}(T))$, where $T = \tau_\alpha((b+\xi)^\alpha)/(b+\xi)$ as in (11). For $b=0$, the variable $T^{-\alpha}$ is the α-diversity of the two-parameter Chinese restaurant process [19]. More generally, for any value $b\ge 0$, the resulting partition is of Gibbs type [19], since λ_α defines a stable subordinator. There hence exists a subclass of Gibbs-type measures that is strictly larger than the Poisson-Dirichlet family, and whose α-diversity exhibits a similar independence property $\xi^{-\alpha}T^{-\alpha} \perp\!\!\!\perp P$.

3. Application to excursions straddling an exponential random time. This section considers applications to a type of distributions and processes that arise in a range of contexts, including passage times of Lévy processes, excursions of regular linear diffusions, interval partitions generated by a subordinator, and also in applications in statistics and finance [e.g. 1, 4, 7, 9, 21, 17, 24].

3.1. *Independence of scaled excursion durations.* Let τ again be a subordinator, with Lévy density λ and $\tau(1) < \infty$ a.s., and denote its Lévy exponent $\Psi(s) := \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-st})\lambda(t)dt$. Following Winkel [24] and the exposition in [1], define the local time process L, overshoot process O, and undershoot process U as

$$L_t := \inf\{s \,|\, \tau(s) > t\} \qquad O(t,\tau) := \tau(L_t) - t \qquad U(t,\tau) := t - \tau(L_t-) \,,$$

where $\tau(L_t-)$ is the prepassage height, i.e. the left-hand limit $\lim_{t \nearrow L_t} \tau(t)$. For an independent exponential time G_1, abbreviate

$$O(\tau) := O(G_1,\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad U(\tau) := U(G_1,\tau) \qquad \text{and define} \qquad \Delta(\tau) := O(\tau) + U(\tau) \,.$$

The variable $\Delta(\tau)$ can be interpreted as the duration of the excursion from 0 to 0 of a strongly recurrent linear diffusion that straddles the random time G_1, and whose inverse local time is τ [23]. The density f_λ of $\Delta(\tau)$ and the joint density h_λ of $(O(\tau), U(\tau))$ are known to be

$$f_\lambda(t) := \frac{(1-e^{-t})\lambda(t)}{\Psi(1)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad h_\lambda(v,w) := \frac{e^{-v}\lambda(v+w)}{\Psi(1)} \,,$$

see [17, 24, 23] regarding f_λ, and [23, eq. (33)] for h_λ. For $b \geq 0$, we define $\tau^{(b)}$ as the subordinator with exponentially tilted Lévy density

$$\lambda^{(b)}(s) = e^{-bs}\lambda(s) \qquad \text{which has Lévy exponent} \qquad \Psi(s+b) - \Psi(b) \,.$$

An additional polynomial tilt yields the subordinator $\tau_\nu^{(b)}$ with Lévy density

$$\lambda_\nu^{(b)}(s) = s^\nu e^{-bs}\lambda(s) \quad \text{with Lévy exponent} \quad \Psi_\nu(b) := \int_0^\infty (1-e^{-s})\lambda_\nu^{(b)}(s)ds \,.$$

If the scalar variable T_0 in Theorem 1 is chosen as $T_0 := \Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b)})$, the resulting law of ξ is

$$\mathbb{P}_{\psi,\nu}(\xi \in ds) = \frac{\Psi_\nu(b+s)s^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)(\Psi(b+1) - \Psi(b))}ds \,. \tag{15}$$

The variable T in the theorem is then $T = \Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)})$.

Proposition 6. *Fix $\nu > 0$ and $b \geq 0$, let ξ be a random variable with law (15). Then the conditional density of $\mathcal{L}(O(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}), U(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)})|\xi = s)$ is $h_{\lambda_{\nu,b+s}}$, and*

$$(O(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}), U(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)})) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} (O(\tau^{(b)}), U(\tau^{(b)})) \qquad \textit{independently of} \qquad \xi\Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_\nu \,.$$

The process $\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}|\xi = s$ is compound Poisson with rate and jump density

$$r_{b,\nu} := \int_0^\infty e^{-(b+s)t}t^\nu\lambda(t)dt \quad \textit{and} \quad s \mapsto e^{-(b+s)t}t^\nu\lambda(t)/r_{b,\nu}$$

whenever $r_{b,\nu} < \infty$, in particular for $\nu \geq 1$.

Since $\Delta = O + U$, it follows that the excursion duration satisfies

$$\Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \Delta(\tau^{(b)}) \qquad \text{independently of} \qquad \xi\Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}) \,.$$

The result does not imply independence of $\xi\Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)})$ and the entire process $\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}$.

3.2. *A concrete example.* Let $\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}$ have Lévy density

$$\lambda_\nu^{(b+\xi)}(s) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} s^{\nu-\alpha-1} e^{-bs} \qquad \text{for } s \in (0,\infty)\,. \tag{16}$$

Changing parameters to $\delta := \alpha - \nu$, and comparing to the generalized gamma subordinator τ_α used in Section 2, shows (16) is up to a constant the Lévy density of the subordinator $\tau_\delta(tb^\delta)/b$. The Lévy exponent Ψ_ν of $\lambda_\nu^{(b)}$, and hence the variable ξ defined by (15), depend on the sign of δ. We must distinguish three cases:

1. $\delta \in (0,\alpha)$ and $b \geq 0$: $\tau_\nu^{(b)}$ is a generalized gamma process with infinite activity and parameter δ, with

$$\Psi_\nu(b) = \frac{\alpha\Gamma(1-\delta)}{\delta\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\big((b+1)^\delta - b^\delta\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}(t) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\tau_\delta(t(b+\xi)^\delta \frac{\alpha\Gamma(1-\delta)}{\delta\Gamma(1-\alpha)})}{b+\xi}\,,$$

   where $\tau_\delta = \tau_{\alpha=\delta}$ is a generalized gamma subordinator.
2. $\delta = 0$ and $b > 0$: $\tau_\nu^{(b)}$ is a gamma process, with

$$\Psi_\nu(b) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\log(1+1/b)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}(t) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \frac{\gamma(t\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}))}{b+\xi}\,,$$

   where γ is a gamma subordinator with Lévy density $s^{-1}e^{-s}$. The weights of the random measure $P = \tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}(y)/\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}(1)$ have law $\mathrm{PD}(0,\delta)$, independently of ξ.
3. For $\delta < 0$ and $b > 0$, one obtains a compound Poisson process, with

$$\Psi_\nu(b) = \frac{\alpha\Gamma(\nu-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\big(b^{\alpha-\nu} - (b+1)^{\alpha-\nu}\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}(t) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}(t)} \frac{G_i}{b+\xi}\,,$$

   where $\tilde{N}$ is a Poisson process with rate $(b+\xi)^{\alpha-\nu}\frac{\alpha\Gamma(\nu-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$, and the variables $G_1, G_2, \ldots$ are i.i.d. $G_i \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_{\nu-\alpha}$.

In each case, the excursion duration is conditionally distributed as

$$\mathcal{L}(\Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)})|\xi = s) = \frac{\alpha(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t})\mathrm{e}^{-(b+s)t}t^{\nu-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Phi_\nu(b+s)}dt\,,$$

and satisfies $\Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)}) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \Delta(\tau_0^{(b)})$, independently of $\xi\Delta(\tau_\nu^{(b+\xi)})$.

Acknowledgments. LFJ was supported in part by grant RGC-HKUST 601712 of the HKSAR. PO was supported in part by grant FA9550-15-1-0074 of AFOSR.

References.

[1] J. Bertoin, T. Fujita, B. Roynette, and M. Yor. On a particular class of self-decomposable random variables: the durations of Bessel excursions straddling independent exponential times. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 26(2):315–366, 2006.

[2] R. Dong, C. Goldschmidt, and J. B. Martin. Coagulation-fragmentation duality, Poisson-Dirichlet distributions and random recursive trees. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 16(4):1733–1750, 2006.

[3] S. Favaro, A. Lijoi, R. H. Mena, and I. Prnster. Bayesian nonparametric inference for species variety with a two parameter poisson-dirichlet process prior. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B*, 71:993–1008, 2009.

[4] A. Gnedin and J. Pitman. Regenerative composition structures. *Ann. Probab.*, 33(2):445–479, 2005.

[5] C. Goldschmidt and B. Haas. A line-breaking construction of the stable trees. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 20:1–24, 2015.

[6] L. F. James. Lamperti-type laws. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 20(4):1303–1340, 2007.

[7] L. F. James, P. Orbanz, and Y. W. Teh. Scaled subordinators and generalizations of the Indian buffet process. 2015. Preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.07309.

[8] J. F. C. Kingman. Random discrete distributions. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B*, 37:1–22, 1975.

[9] A. E. Kyprianou. *Introductory lectures on fluctuations of Lévy processes with applications*. Springer, 2006.

[10] G. Letac and J. Wesołowski. An independence property for the product of GIG and gamma laws. *Ann. Probab.*, 28(3):1371–1383, 2000.

[11] E. Lukacs. A characterization of the gamma distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 26(2), 1955.

[12] H. Matsumoto and M. Yor. An analogue of Pitman's $2M - X$ theorem for exponential Wiener functionals. II. The role of the generalized inverse Gaussian laws. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 162:65–86, 2001.

[13] A. G. Pakes and R. Khattree. Length-biasing, characterizations of laws and the moment problem. *Austral. J. Statist.*, 34(2):307–322, 1992.

[14] A. G. Pakes, T. Sapatinas, and E. B. Fosam. Characterizations, length-biasing, and infinite divisibility. *Statist. Papers*, 37(1):53–69, 1996.

[15] E. A. Peköz, A. Röllin, and N. Ross. Generalized gamma approximation with rates for urns, walks and trees. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(3):1776–1816, 2016.

[16] M. Perman, J. Pitman, and M. Yor. Size-biased sampling of Poisson point processes and excursions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 92(1):21–39, 1992.

[17] J. Pitman. Partition structures derived from Brownian motion and stable subordinators. *Bernoulli*, 3 (1):79–96, 1997.

[18] J. Pitman. Poisson-Kingman partitions. In *Statistics and science: a Festschrift for Terry Speed*, volume 40 of *IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser.*, pages 1–34. Inst. Math. Statist., 2003.

[19] J. Pitman. *Combinatorial stochastic processes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, 2006.

[20] J. Pitman and M. Yor. Arcsine laws and interval partitions derived from a stable subordinator. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 65(2):326–356, 1992.

[21] J. Pitman and M. Yor. The two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution derived from a stable subordinator. *Ann. Probab.*, 25(2):855–900, 1997.

[22] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*. Springer, third edition, 1999.

[23] P. Salminen, P. Vallois, and M. Yor. On the excursion theory for linear diffusions. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 2 (1):97–127, 2007.

[24] M. Winkel. Electronic foreign-exchange markets and passage events of independent subordinators. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 42(1):138–152, 2005.

PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1. If (4) holds, the joint density of (ξ, T) is

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi \in ds, T \in dt) = \frac{e^{-st} s^{\nu-1}}{\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]\Gamma(\nu)} f_0(t) ds dt \ ,$$

if f_0 is the density of T_0. It can be disintegrated either into $\mathcal{L}(T|\xi)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\xi)$, which recovers (4), or into $\mathcal{L}(\xi|T)$ and $\mathcal{L}(T)$, in which case

$$\mathbb{P}(T \in dt) = \frac{t^{-\nu} f_0(t)}{\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]} dt = \mathbb{P}(T_0^{[\nu]} \in dt) \ , \tag{17}$$

which is just (3iii). For any measurable functions g and h, a change of variables $y := st$ then yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[g(T^*)h(\xi T^*)] &= \iint g(t)h(ts)\frac{e^{-st}e^{\psi(s)}f_{T_0}(t)e^{-\psi(s)}s^{\nu-1}}{\mathbb{E}[T^{-\nu}]\Gamma(\nu)}dsdt \\ &= \int g(t)\frac{f_{T_0}(t)t^{-\nu}}{\mathbb{E}[T^{-\nu}]}dt\int h(y)\frac{e^{-y}y^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)}dy\ ,\end{aligned}$$

so (3i) and (3ii) are also true. Conversely, assume (3) holds, and hence in particular (17). The joint density of $(T, \xi T)$ is then

$$\frac{t^{-\nu}f_{T_0}(t)}{\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]}dt\frac{y^{\nu-1}e^{-y}}{\Gamma(\nu)}dy = \frac{t^{-\nu}f_{T_0}(t)}{\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]}dt\frac{(st)^{\nu-1}e^{-st}}{\Gamma(\nu)}tds = \frac{s^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]}ds\cdot e^{-st}f_{T_0}(t)dt\ .$$

If additionally h is any positive function and $\psi(s) = \log(h(s))$,

$$\frac{s^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]}\frac{h(s)}{h(s)}ds\cdot e^{-st}f_{T_0}(t)dt = \frac{s^{\nu-1}e^{-\psi(s)}}{\Gamma(\nu)\mathbb{E}[T_0^{-\nu}]}ds\cdot e^{-(st-\psi(s))}f_{T_0}(t)dt\ ,$$

which is the product of the two terms in (4). □

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar.

Proof of Lemma 5. $H_{\alpha,\theta}$ has density $b\mapsto b^{-\alpha}e^{-b^\alpha}\mathbb{E}[X_{\alpha,b}^{-\theta-\alpha+1}]/(\Gamma(1-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[S_\alpha^{-(\theta)}])$. Integrating against the density of ξ_b given in (12) shows $\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}}$ has marginal density

$$s\mapsto Cs^{\theta+\alpha-1}\int_0^1 e^{-y(b+1)^\alpha}(b+1)^{\alpha-1}b^{-\alpha}db\ ,\qquad \text{hence}\qquad \xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}}\stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} G_{\frac{\theta+\alpha}{\alpha}}B_{1-\alpha,\theta+\alpha}\ .$$

Taking Laplace transforms yields (14)(i), which implies $(\xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}}, \xi_{H_{\alpha,\theta}}+H_{\alpha,\theta})^\alpha$ is equal in distribution to $G_{(\alpha+\theta)/\theta}(B_{\theta+\alpha,1-\alpha},1)$, and hence yields (14)(ii). □

Proof of Proposition 6. (i) holds by construction and Theorem 1. To obtain (ii) and (iii), abbreviate $(O_\xi, U_\xi) := (O(\tau_{\nu,b+\xi}), U(\tau_{b+\xi,\nu}))$ and $\Delta_\xi := \Delta(\tau_{b+\xi,\nu})$. The joint density of (O_ξ, U_ξ, ξ) is then

$$\frac{e^{-v}e^{-(b+s)(v+w)}(v+w)^\nu\lambda(v+w)}{\Psi_\nu(b+s)}\times\frac{\Psi_\nu(b+s)s^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)(\Psi(b+1)-\Psi(b))} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-v}\mathrm{e}^{-(b+s)(v+w)}(v+w)^\nu\lambda(v+w)s^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)(\Psi(b+1)-\Psi(b))}\ .$$

It follows that, for any measureable function h,

$$\mathbb{E}[h(O_\xi,U_\xi)e^{-\omega\xi\Delta_\xi}] = \frac{h(v,w)\mathrm{e}^{-v}\mathrm{e}^{-b(v+w)}(v+w)^\nu\mathrm{e}^{-s(1+\omega)(x+v)}\lambda(v+w)s^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)\Psi_\lambda(b+1)-\Psi_\lambda(b)}dsdvdw\ ,$$

and integrating out s yields $\mathbb{E}[h(O_\xi,U_\xi)e^{-\omega\xi\Delta_\xi}] = (1+\omega)^{-\nu}\mathbb{E}[h((O(\tau_b),U(\tau_b))]$. □

Deparment of Information Systems, Business Statistics, and Operations Management
Clear Water Bay, Kowloon
Hong Kong
E-mail: lancelot@ust.hk

Department of Statistics
1255 Amsterdam Avenue
New York, NY-10027, USA
E-mail: porbanz@stat.columbia.edu